

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

KENNETH W. HUNTER,	:	CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:05-CV-1990
	:	
Plaintiff	:	(Judge Conner)
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
CHIEF CHARLES KELLER, et al.,	:	
	:	
Defendants	:	

ORDER

AND NOW, this 21st day of November, 2005, upon consideration of plaintiff's motion (Doc. 11) for appointment of counsel,¹ and it appearing that the resolution of plaintiff's claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 neither implicates complex legal or factual issues nor requires significant factual investigation or the testimony of expert witnesses, see Tabron v. Grace, 6 F.3d 147, 155-57 (3d Cir. 1993) (listing factors relevant to a request for counsel), it is hereby ORDERED that the motion (Doc. 11) is DENIED. If further proceedings demonstrate the need for counsel, the matter may be reconsidered either *sua sponte* or upon motion of plaintiff. See id. at 156.

S/ Christopher C. Conner
CHRISTOPHER C. CONNER
United States District Judge

¹ The court assumes, for the purpose of ruling on the motion, that plaintiff's claim has an arguable basis in law and fact.